

Syllabus

fintech analytics- credit risk - 55790

Last update 19-03-2019

HU Credits: 1

Responsible Department: Business Administration

Academic year: 0

Semester: 2nd Semester

Teaching Languages: English

Campus: Mt. Scopus

Course/Module Coordinator: Prof ROGER STEIN

<u>Coordinator Email: steinr@mit.edu</u>

Coordinator Office Hours:

<u>Teaching Staff:</u> Prof ROGER STEIN

Course/Module description:

Data-driven credit analytics have become increasingly prevalent among both

traditional

banks and new lending platform companies. However, machine learning and statistical algorithms are only a

small part of what is involved in building robust risk analytics. This short seminar focuses on the practical

challenges that arise in implementing a variety of data-driven credit models (e.g., bankruptcy and default

models retail and commercial entities). With a focus on large data sets, we explore a number of data-driven

approaches to modeling the likelihood that credit-risky borrowers will default on their obligations. I will

draw heavily on my experiences building and evaluating some of the most widely used and commercially

successful data-driven credit evaluation tools in the industry. This seminar will tend heavily towards discussions

of practical model implementations and the [frictions] that make these implementations difficult in

real-world settings. We pay special attention to validating discrete-choice models in real-world settings. We

will not focus as heavily on the structure of credit markets or the details of pricing a broad variety of creditrisky

instruments.

We will take the view that an effective, practical credit modeling framework will be rough around the edges

with the odd inconsistency (usually to deal with available data or the lack thereof). This implies that seemingly

incompatible models can each have value in specific contexts, resulting in retention of several models

despite their theoretical inconsistency. Because the focus is applied, we will discuss model validation and

calibration in detail and highlight data issues in estimation and validation. Since credit models for corporate

debt are most well developed, we will deal most extensively with these models. Lectures will to focus on

conceptual themes and practical issues, with much of the technical detail underlying these to be found in the readings.

I will also provide suggested [mini-projects] for those students who are more technically inclined. These

projects serve to provide motivation and, if you do them, you will leave the seminar with some very useful

tools for applying this subject matter in practice.

Course/Module aims:

To expose students to the practical challenges associated with building and testing

singleborrower

credit risk models, such as those used by banks, as well as to the types of modeling techniques that can

be used to build them. These [mini-projects[] are described using R syntax, though they may be implemented

in any language in which you work (Python, SAS, Matlab, etc.). I will go over a \square solution \square to at least one of

these during the seminar.

<u>Learning outcomes - On successful completion of this module, students should be</u> able to:

build and test single-borrower credit risk models, such as those used by banks.

Attendance requirements(%):

Day 2

Teaching arrangement and method of instruction:

Communications of the ACM, 60, 10, October, pp. 32-35.

☐ Validating model calibration using probability-based measures

Introduction to PD model validation

ACPMIP: Chapter 7, pp. 361-397.

☐ Validating model power using ROC curves

Course/Module Content: Day 1 Introduction to credit risk modeling concepts, the challenge of data analytics and the nature of FinTech platforms ☐ How can we add value in developing data-driven analytics ☐ The features of successful of FinTech platforms ☐ Data problems and resolutions ☐ Key components of credit risk ☐ PD, LGD, (EAD), correlation, size □ Differing modeling paradigms □ Diversification ACPMIP: Chapter 1, pp. 2-16; 19-23; 32-34; 38; 42-43. Chapter 2, pp. 60-62; 72-74. Supplemental readings: ☐ Dhar, V. and R. Stein (1997), Seven Methods for Transforming Corporate Data into Business Intelligence, Prentice Hall, NJ. Chapter 3. ☐ Dhar, V. and Stein R. M. (2017) ☐ Economic and Business Dimensions on FinTech Platforms and Strategy. \square ,

☐ Stein, R. M., A. E. Kocagil, J. Bohn and J. Akhavain (2003). ☐Systematic and
Idiosyncratic Risk in Middle-Market
Default Prediction: A Study of the Performance of the RiskCalc and PFM Models.
$Moody \square s \ KMV.$
For those interested, see if you can write this tool: Function to calculate the AUC
ROC for two different subsets of a single data set.
☐ Definition: subROC