

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

סילבוס

סוגיות בפילוסופיה של מדעי החברה - 54129

תאריך עדכון אחרון 08-03-2025

נקודות זכות באוניברסיטה העברית: 2

תואר: בוגר

היחידה האקדמית שאחראית על הקורס: פילוסופיה, כלכלה, מדע המדינה (פכ"מ)

השנה הראשונה בתואר בה ניתן ללמוד את הקורס: 0

סמסטר: סמסטר ב'

שפת ההוראה: עברית

קמפוס: הר הצופים

מורה אחראי על הקורס (רכז): דני אטאס

דוא"ל של המורה האחראי על הקורס: daniel.attas@huji.ac.il

שעות קבלה של רכז הקורס: יום ג' 11:00-12:00

מורי הקורס:

פרופ איתי ניסן-רוזן,
פרופ דני אטאס

תאור כללי של הקורס:

הקורס הוא המשך לקורס מבוא לפילוסופיה של מדעי החברה, ובו נתמקד בסוגיות ספציפיות בפילוסופיה של מדעי החברה.

מטרות הקורס:

להתנסות בהעמקה אישית בסוגיות בפילוסופיה של מדעי החברה; ולסגל הרגל של רפלקסיה ביקורתית על פעולת החקירה המדעית.

תוצרי למידה

בסימום של קורס זה, סטודנטים יהיו מסוגלים:

לקרוא באופן ביקורתי ספרות פילוסופית שדנה במדעי החברה, להשתמש בידע הפילוסופי שנרכש כדי לבחון מחקרים במדעי החברה.

דרישות נוכחות (%) :

100

שיטת ההוראה בקורס: דיון, הצגה בכיתה

רשימת נושאים / תכנית הלימודים בקורס:

סוגיות בפילוסופיה של הכלכלה

חומר חובה לקריאה:

הקבוצה של דני:

M. Friedman, "The Methodology of Positive Economics" in *Essays in Positive Economics*. Chicago (1953), pp. 3-43.

Julian Reiss (2012) *The explanation paradox*, *Journal of Economic Methodology* 19(1), 43-62.

John Davis, "Collective intentionality, complex economic behavior, and valuation" in *Elgar*, pp. 386-402.

Harold Kincaid, "Methodological Individualism and Economics" in *Elgar* pp. 299-314

Amartya Sen, "Rational Fools: A Critique of the Behavioral Foundations of Economic

Theory", *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 6 (1977): 317-344.

S. Bowles and H. Gintis, "The Revenge of Homo Economicus: Contested Exchange and the Revival of Political Economy", *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7 (1993), pp. 83-102.

&eq;
Daniel M. Hausman, "Mistakes about Preferences in the Social Sciences" *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* 41 (2011), pp. 3-25.

Keith Dowding, "What Is Welfare and How Can We Measure It?" *OH*, 511-539.

D. Schmidtz, A place for cost-benefit analysis. *Noûs* 35.s1, (2001): 148-171.

John Dupré (2007). *Fact and value*. In H. Kincaid, J. Dupré, A. Wylie (Eds.), *Value-free science? Ideals and Illusions* (pp. 27-41). New York: Oxford University Press.

D. McCloskey, "The Rhetoric of Economics" in *Journal of Economic Literature* 21 (1983), pp. 481-517.

Drucilla Barker (1995): *Economists, social reformers, and prophets: a feminist critique of economic efficiency*, *Feminist Economics*, 1:3, 26-39.

הקבוצה של איתי (טקסט ראשון בכל נושא הוא קריאת חובה לכל הכיתה ושני הטקסטים הנוספים הם קריאת חובה רק למציגים):

The Economic Concept of Preference, Kate Vredenburg

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5baaf2ad01232c0e635e3a93/t/61bd1019b386983fd371f549/1639780417730/The+Economic+Concept+of+a+Preference.pdf>

Causal Explanation and Revealed Preferences, Kate Vredenburg

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5baaf2ad01232c0e635e3a93/t/658ede2bd708a14d8a32df74/1703861804324/Causal+explanation+and+revealed+preference+proof.pdf>

In Defense of Revealed Preferences Theory, Johannah Thoma

-<https://johannathoma.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/in-defence-of-revealed-preference-theory-final-eap.pdf>

2.

Preferences: neither behavioral nor mental, Francesco Guala
http://wp.demm.unimi.it/files/wp/2017/DEMM-2017_05wp.pdf

Mistakes about preferences in the social science, Daniel Hausman
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0048393110387885>

Estranged parents and a schizophrenic child: choice in economics, psychology and neuroeconomics, Don Ros
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1350178X.2011.611024>

3.

On the Interpretation of Decision Theory, Samir Okasha
https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/70387986/On_Interpretation_Decision_TheoryECONPHILrevised.pdf

Mentalism versus Behaviorism in Economics: A Philosophy of Science Perspective, Franz Dietrich and Christian List
https://papers.ssrn.com/Sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2033165

Folk psychology and the interpretation of decision theory, Johanna Thoma
<http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/104181/>

4.

Axiomatic and Ecological Rationality: Choosing Costs and Benefits, Patricia Rich
<https://ejpe.org/journal/article/view/231/215>

Axiomatic rationality and ecological rationality, Gerd Gigerenzer
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11229-019-02296-5>

Two types of ecological rationality: or how to best combine psychology and economics, Erwin Dekker and Blaz Remic
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1350178X.2018.1560486>

5.

Risk and Tradeoffs, Lara Buchak
<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/577c3a8415d5db726ef3e3bd/t/577d8d3359cc68765facd740/1467845939995/A05+Risk+and+Tradeoffs.pdf>

Risk and Motivation: when the will is required to determine what to do, Dylan Murray and Lara Buchak
<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/p/phimp/3521354.0019.016/1>

Rational Risk Aversion: Good Things Come to Those Who Weight, Christopher Bottomley and Timothy Luke Williamson
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/phpr.13006>

6.

What is risk aversion? Orri Stefansson and Richard Bradley
<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1093/bjps/axx035>

- *Attitudes toward risk are complicated: experimental evidence for the re
individuation approach to risk-attitudes, Haim Cohen, Anat Maril, Sun Bleicher and
Ittay Nissan-Rozen*
[https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11098-022-01778-6?utm_source&eq;xmol
&utm_medium&eq;affiliate&utm_content&eq;meta&utm_campaign&eq;DDCN_1_GL
01_metadata](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11098-022-01778-6?utm_source&eq;xmol&utm_medium&eq;affiliate&utm_content&eq;meta&utm_campaign&eq;DDCN_1_GL01_metadata)

The Intrinsic Value of Risky Prospects, Zeev Goldschmidt and Ittay Nissan-Rozen
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11229-020-02532-3>

7.

Joint actions and Group Agents, Philip Pettit and David Schweikard
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0048393105284169>

Group Agency and Supervenience, Christian List and Philip Pettit
[https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/114328415/GroupAgencySupervenience-libre.
pdf?1715224256&eq;&response-content-disposition&eq;inline%3B+filename%3DGr
oup_Agency_and_Supervenience.pdf&Expires&eq;1740387091&Signature&eq;HuqB
i0Nr3cAkFrGqlqMNCR65XCHR~csWHBKWXYMwGV9dKJOpjWpqyxFH3FZJ4dPzLTH6W
bQ3XN15VctdCpJofpmGHwGFORwO376LQUohfGL-cOWThCwwKsTg7ue-ThDs5OEVEK
Te0ZTdM5JQ68qDqnUNGmdcKzvWZpsXgLuW262RuW-o-NuEglxcn9qngKp5tgx1pRyy
geI3S6PvM6VcM8xH5JqHKna3lhnioc1sQ2orQ1tPlZcCXuWLG6xRwphdNSw-zzuGwQFL
YJICayfZ-2WNxdBrNYFt7G9-5hycNuV0gTXRBm7-B2w1wxEZ7SR0hzUG9ffoB7l~K42S
UJL1fA__&Key-Pair-Id&eq;APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/114328415/GroupAgencySupervenience-libre.pdf?1715224256&eq;&response-content-disposition&eq;inline%3B+filename%3DGroup_Agency_and_Supervenience.pdf&Expires&eq;1740387091&Signature&eq;HuqBi0Nr3cAkFrGqlqMNCR65XCHR~csWHBKWXYMwGV9dKJOpjWpqyxFH3FZJ4dPzLTH6WbQ3XN15VctdCpJofpmGHwGFORwO376LQUohfGL-cOWThCwwKsTg7ue-ThDs5OEVEKTe0ZTdM5JQ68qDqnUNGmdcKzvWZpsXgLuW262RuW-o-NuEglxcn9qngKp5tgx1pRyygeI3S6PvM6VcM8xH5JqHKna3lhnioc1sQ2orQ1tPlZcCXuWLG6xRwphdNSw-zzuGwQFLYJICayfZ-2WNxdBrNYFt7G9-5hycNuV0gTXRBm7-B2w1wxEZ7SR0hzUG9ffoB7l~K42S UJL1fA__&Key-Pair-Id&eq;APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA)

What is it like to be a group agent, Christian List
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/33753041.pdf>

8.

Collective Intentionality and the Social Science, Deborah Perron Tollefsen
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/004839310203200102>

Social Heuristics that make us smarter, Susan Hurley
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09515080500264214>

The Concept of Rationality for a City, Kenny Easwaran

<https://philpapers.org/archive/EASTCO-3.pdf>
9.

Preference purification and the inner rational agent: critique of the conventional wisdom of behavioral welfare economics, Gerardo Infante, Guilhem Lecouteux and Robert Sugden
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1350178X.2015.1070527>

The Behavioral Economist and the Social Planner: to whom should behavioral welfare economics be addressed? Robert Sugden
https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0020174X.2013.806139?casa_token=&-eq;IYOJa7JK76QAAAAA:cDd0YmAy2Ugl9cPgrF89OZZ0m3juqWEKdA6gmfVKIL7Ac3puV1giYC8HBUTikldLH7sKjw15p8gYw

Hume's Experimental Psychology and The Idea of Erroneous Preferences, Robert Sugden
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167268120304224>

10.

Merely Means Paternalist? Prospect Theory and 'Debiased' Welfare Analysis, Johanna Thoma
[-https://johannathoma.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/merely-means-paternalist-5-2022-anonymised.pdf](https://johannathoma.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/merely-means-paternalist-5-2022-anonymised.pdf)

The empirical adequacy of cumulative prospect theory and its implications for normative assessment, Glenn W. Harrison and Don Ross
https://cear.gsu.edu/files/2017/01/WP_2017_01_The-Empirical-Adequacy-of-Cumulative-Prospect-Theory-and-its-Implications-for-Normative-Assessment_2017_0517.pdf

What Preferences for Behavioural Welfare Economics?, Till Grune-Yanoff
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1350178X.2022.2061721#abstract>

11.

Can the science of well-being be objective? Anna Alexandrova
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/35279702.pdf>

Well-being and philosophy of science, Anna Alexandrova
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/phc3.12203>

Looking good or feeling well? Understanding the combinations of well-being

indicators using insights from the philosophy of well-being, Shiri Cohen Kaminitz
Elmaliach
https://ideas.repec.org/a/spr/soinre/v150y2020i1d10.1007_s11205-020-02289-9.html

12.

Social Science, Policy and Democracy, Johanna Thoma
<https://philpapers.org/archive/THOSSP.pdf>

No Theory-Free Lunches in Well-Being Policy, Gil Hersch
<https://philpapers.org/archive/HERNTL.pdf>

Which Values Should be Built Into Economic Measures?, S. Andrew Schroder
<https://philpapers.org/archive/SCHWVS-3.pdf>

חומר לקריאה נוספת:

הקבוצה של דני:

J. S. Mill, *A System of Logic*, Book III Chs. v-vi

Hume, *A Treatise of Human Nature*, Bk I, Part 3, sec. ii-iv

Julian Reiss, "Do We Need Mechanisms in the Social Sciences?" *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* 37 (2007) 163-184.

John Searle, *Making the Social World*, chs 2-3, pp. 25-60

John B. Davis, "Collective intentionality, complex economic behavior, and valuation" in Elgar, pp. 386-402.

Kevin D. Hoover, "Microfoundations and the Ontology of Macroeconomics" in OH, pp. 386-409.

Alan P. Kirman, "Whom or What Does the Representative Individual Represent?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 6, (1992), pp. 117-136.

C. Bicchieri, *Rationality and Indeterminacy*, ch. 6 of OH pp. 159-188.

A. K. Sen, (1977). "Rational Fools: A Critique of the Behavioral Foundations of Economic Theory", *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 6, 317-344. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2264946>

M. Hollis and R. Sugden, "Rationality in Action", *Mind* 102 (1993), pp. 1-35.

-
- John B. Davis, "Competing Conceptions of the Individual in Recent Economics", in OH pp. 223-244.
- Jim Woodward "Experimental Investigations of Social Preferences" in OH pp. 189-222.
- Alan Kirman and Miriam Teschl, "Do Markets Foster Selfishness?" *Revue de Philosophie Economique*, 11, 2010/11, pp. 113-140
- Ken Binmore, "Interpersonal Comparison of Utility", OH, pp. 540-549.
- Wayne Sumner, *Welfare, Happiness and Ethics* (chapter 5.1 on revealed prefs.), pp. 113-122.
- Anna Alexandrova, "Well-being: Between Philosophy, Science and Policy" in N. Cartwright, E. Montuschi (eds) *Philosophy of Social Science: A New Introduction*, Oxford, 2014, pp. 9-29.
- Pelin Kesebir and Ed Diener, "In Defense of Happiness: Why Policymakers Should Care about Subjective Well-Being" in *Capabilities and Happiness* (2008)
- Erik Angner, "Subjective Measures of Well-Being: Philosophical Perspectives", OH pp. 560-570.
- Kelman, Steven. "Cost-benefit analysis: an ethical critique." *Regulation* 5 (1981): 33.
- Leamer, Edward E. "Let's take the con out of econometrics." *The American Economic Review* (1983): 31-43.
- Sen, Amartya. "The discipline of cost-benefit analysis." *The Journal of Legal Studies* 29.S2 (2000): 931-952.
- Putnam, 'Fact and Value' in *Reason, Truth and History* (ch. 6)
- P. Dasgupta (2005). *What Do Economists Analyze and Why: Values or Facts?* *Economics and Philosophy*, pp 221-278.
- A. Rosenberg, *Economics - Mathematical Politics or Science of Diminishing Returns?*, Ch. 2, pp. 21-55. (1992)
- Garnett, R. F. "Rhetoric and postmodernism in economics" Ch. 12 of Elgar, pp. 231-259.
- Nelson, Julie A. "Feminism and economics." *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9 (1995), pp. 131-148.
- Drucilla K. Barker, "From feminist empiricism to feminist poststructuralism: philosophical questions in feminist economics", in Elgar, pp. 1-15.

מרכיבי הציון הסופי :

מטלות הגשה במהלך הסמסטר: תרגילים / עבודות / מבדקים / דוחות / פורום / סימולציה ואחרות

75 %
מצגת / הצגת פוסטר / הרצאה 25 %

מידע נוסף / הערות:
אין